

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,183	01/18/2002	Eduard N. Lerner	10087 P04 CIP2	7594	
	590 10/01/2003		EXAMI	NER	
PERKINS, SMITH & COHEN LLP ONE BEACON STREET			BENNETT, RACHEL M		
30TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	02108		1615 DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	-	10/050,183	LERNER, EDUARD N.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Rachel M. Bennett	1615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspond nce address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the individual patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 September 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un of Claims	llowance except for formal matter nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Appl	ication No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationate the attached detailed Office action for a	I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been	received.
Attachment(		_	
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	) 5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Tra ΓOL-326 (Re		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/050,183

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-2,5-6,13-31, drawn to a method, classified in class 424, subclass 434.
  - II. Claims 3-4, 7-12, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 38.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed

can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this

case, Applicants claim the process can be practiced by either an apparatus comprising a donor

iontophoresis electrode and a receptor iontophoresis or an apparatus comprising a phonophoresis

device.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species in Group I:

- a) methods drawn to iontophoresis
- b) methods drawn to phonophoresis

Page 2

Species in Group II:

- a) apparatus comprising a donor and receptor iontophoresis electrode
- b) apparatus comprising a phonophoresis device

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 13 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel M. Bennett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

rmb

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600